

2024:PHHC:059235

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

133 CRM-M-21134-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 30.04.2024

KAMAL JUNEJA THR SAROJ RANI ...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of order dated 09.10.2019 (Annexure P-9) vide which the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person in FIR No. 38 dated 25.02.2019 under Sections 406/498-A/506 IPC registered at P.S. City Rajpura, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had not visited India since 2014. The marriage of the complainant was solemnized with the petitioner on 20.08.2014 and then he left for USA on 02.09.2017. Since then he never came to India and the instant FIR No. 38 dated 25.02.2019 was got registered at his back and he has never been served in the proceedings at any stage.

He further submits that the initiation of the FIR is a result of matrimonial dispute between the parties and the same has been settled amicably which is evident from the fact that a petition No. CRM-M-

47090-2023 has been filed for quashing of the above-mentioned FIR in which statements are ordered to be recorded.

Learned counsel for the complainant also in consonance with the fact that the compromise has been effected between the parties and that the necessary statements would be got recorded before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court within two weeks as directed by this Court in that petition.

In light of the above, order dated 09.10.2019 (Annexure P-9) vide which the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person is quashed.

Petition is disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

30.04.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>