

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-11843-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2024

Chander Kala Yadav
... Petitioner
Versus
HUDA, Sector 6, Panchkula and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate, and
Ms. Vasundhra Asija, Advocate,
for the respondent(s)-HSVP.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

On December 18, 2023, this Court had passed the following order:

“Learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP, at the outset, submits that in principal approval has since been granted by the Competent Authority (Chairman) to allot an alternate site/plot to the petitioner and only the necessary formalities have to be carried out. He prays for a short accommodation.

Adjourned to 22.01.2024.”

Today, learned counsel for the respondent(s)-HSVP, on instructions, submits, for the competent authority has taken a conscious decision to allot an alternate site/plot No.1332, Sector 21-D, Faridabad (measuring 1 kanal), a formal allotment letter would be issued within one week from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition, and the same be disposed of as such.

In the wake of the above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in case, no letter of allotment is received by the petitioner within the time indicated above, she will be at liberty to move a miscellaneous application in this petition itself for its restoration and decision on merits.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

05.03.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO