



CRM-M-21088-2024

213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.21088 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.08.2024

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0112	09.04.2024	Tehsil Camp, District Panipat	406, 420 IPC

1. The petitioner apprehending his arrest in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court under Section 438 CrPC seeking anticipatory bail.
2. Vide order dated 29.04.2024, the petitioner was granted interim bail which is continuing till date.
3. Counsel for the petitioner has handed over a copy of postal receipt which was sent by “Mr. Narender Kumar, Advocate Panipat” to “Mr. Ranbir Singh Jattal Panipat Pin:132105” and the postal receipt mentions the date as 16.10.2023. An original copy of the slip has been handed over to the State and the photocopy of the same has been retained by the Court.
4. Counsel for the petitioner further prays for bail by imposing any stringent conditions and states that he would have no objection to the condition(s). The petitioner contends that custodial interrogation and pre-trial incarceration would cause an irreversible injustice to the petitioner and family.

2024.PHHC.099195



CRM-M-21088-2024

5. Counsel for the State opposes the bail but does not refute the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner.
6. Given the nature of allegation and the fact that there is no allegation that petitioner did not join investigation or failed to appear before the investigator concerned. As such, the petitioner is entitled for bail.
7. Given above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 29.04.2024 is made absolute. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

02.08.2024

Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.