

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CWP No. 9497 of 2024
Date of Decision : 26.04.2024

Vikas Rohilla

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 20.05.2023 (Annexure P-4) by which the petitioner has been transferred from Delhi to Palwal on the ground that the said transfer is contrary to the Transfer Policy of the year 2018, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-2.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation against the said order of the transfer and the respondents be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner by passing appropriate speaking order.
3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner raising any grievance, the same will be addressed by passing an appropriate speaking order in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reason will be given for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

April 26th, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No