

S. No.105

2024:PHHC:056663

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20627 of 2024

Date of Decision:25.04.2024

Sukhchain Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Kumar Kachura, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.210 dated 19.10.2023 registered under Sections 392, 506, 34 IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur.

FIR was lodged on the statement of Seeta, wife of Davinder Pal Singh revealing that an amount of ₹29 lakhs was received by them as sale consideration of a land deal. On 18.10.2023, complainant along with her husband, brother-in-law Bittu and Rinku son of Resham Singh @ Nihal Singh along with a taxi driver had come to the house of her maternal uncle at Village Mohan Kr Uttar and had put the amount in the house of her maternal uncle. On 19.10.2023, complainant with her husband Davinder Pal Singh and Rinku son of Resham Singh came to pick up the money at Village Mohan Ke Uttar. As they reached at the house of complainant's maternal uncle Joginder Singh, three unknown

persons with muffled faces came there. One of them was carrying a pistol and told the complainant party to give the money to them, otherwise they will be killed. Complainant's maternal uncle Joginder Singh took out the amount of ₹29 lacs from the box of the bed in his room and the unknown persons snatched away the same, extended threats and fled away.

During investigation, petitioner along with other accused were found to have snatched the money. Petitioner was found to be Kingpin of the crime, who was named on the statement of co-accused vide DDR No.45 dated 01.10.2023. However, he could not be arrested despite repeated raids. Proceedings to declare him as Proclaimed Offender are pending in the Court of learned SDJM, Guruharsahai as has been noticed by learned Sessions Judge, Ferozepur in his order dated 12.02.2024 while rejecting the anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that co-accused Paramjit Singh alias Pamma was arrested, who is the brother-in-law of the petitioner; that he used to reside in his house for the last six months; that said Paramjit Singh alias Pamma was known to the co-accused Joginder Singh and that he has been falsely implicated on account of some altercation between Paramjit Singh alias Pamma and Joginder Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated; that he is not named in the FIR; that he is ready to join the investigation and so, he be allowed anticipatory bail.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the paper book including the attribution to the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

April 25, 2024
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No