

**1. CRM-M No.21224 of 2023(O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 23.07.2024**

Sarita Yadav

**... Petitioner**

Versus

## State of Haryana and Another

## ...Respondents

**2. CRM-M No.21229 of 2023(O&M)**

Sarita Yadav

**... Petitioner**

Versus

## State of Haryana and Another

## ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate with  
Mr. Ashutosh Pandey, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Teginder Singh, Advocate and  
Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate  
for the respondent-Bank.

\* \* \* \*


**ANOOP CHITKARA, J.**

|                                             |                                                                      |                                                                             |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Criminal Case no. before trial Court</b> | NACT No.10212/2019 and 10209/2019<br>Date of Institution: 20.03.2019 | Under Section 138 read with Section 142 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Seeking setting aside of order dated 09.01.2023 (Annexure P-11) and order dated 04.04.2022 (Annexure P-8) passed by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram whereby the trial Court proceeded to issue non-bailable warrants against the petitioner and initiate proclamation proceedings under Section 82 Cr.P.C. in the case captioned above.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 01.06.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court and pursuant to that, he

CRM-M-21224-2023  
CRM-M-21229-2023



surrendered to the majesty of the Hon’ble Court and now she is regularly appearing before the trial Court concerned.

- 3. Counsel for respondent No.2 and State counsel does not refute the claim of the petitioner.
- 4. Given above, the present petition is disposed of and order dated 01.06.2023 is made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall not skip even a single date before the trial Court without any reason.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

23.07.2024  
Sonia Puri  
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No.