

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21018-2024
Date of decision: 30.05.2024

SODHAPARMAR ARVINDBHAIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Tarun Deep Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

.....
SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
287	16.12.2023	420, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and 61 of Punjab Excise Act, 1914 (Haryana Amendment Bill 2020).	Sadar Kanina, District Mahendergarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 20.05.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. During the course of hearing on 20.05.2024, following order had been passed: -

1. Status report dated 11.05.2024 filed in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has nothing to do with

the alleged transaction and by referring to the status report filed by the State, he submits that even in the alleged disclosure statement of the accused Amra Ram, the name of the petitioner does not surface.

3. *Learned State counsel on perusal of the status report submitted by the State has admitted this fact.*

4. *List on 30.05.2024.*

5. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from PSI Ravi informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 20.05.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 20.05.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.05.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |