

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9434-2024

Date of Decision: 25.04.2024

GEETA RANI AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the transfer order dated 16.03.2024, Annexure P-7, whereby the claim of petitioners as couple case was not considered, and they have been transferred to different districts.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a representation to the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in the instant petition with a direction to the third respondent to decide the same within a specified period.

3. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, appearing on advance notice, submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioners within a period of one week, the same will be duly considered and decided by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from receiving the same.

4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
5. Ordered accordingly

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
(JUDGE)

25.04.2024
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No