

Neutral Citation No:2024:PHHC:060093
CWP-9595 of 2024 1
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

247 CWP-9595 of 2024
Date of Decision: 01.05.2024

MAHINDER KAUR Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manmeet Singh Tehi, Asst. A.G., Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. On 29.04.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Present:Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

-.-

1. Petitioner – Mahinder Kaur (claimant in the claim application under the Employee’s Compensation Act, 1923) has filed present writ petition for releasing of the awarded amount of compensation of Rs.15,50,000/- along with interest @ 12% per annum, total amounting to Rs.18,30,253/-.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner’s son had expired and therefore, on filing of the application under the Employee’s Compensation Act, 1923,Commissioner-cum-Assistant Labour Commissioner, SAS Nagar (Camp at Rupnagar), allowed the WCC case No.11 of 2022 and has ordered compensation amount of Rs.15,50,000/- along with interest @ 12% per annum.
3. Further submits that though, the amount has been deposited by the New India Assurance Company Limited (respondent No.4) with respondent No.2,

however, the said amount is not being paid to the petitioner on one pretext or the other because officials at Rupnagar says that the said amount has been deposited with the Tribunal at SAS Nagar, but when the petitioner approaches the respondent No.2 i.e. Employees Compensation Commissioner-cum-Assistant Labour Commissioner, at SAS Nagar, the said amount is not paid under the excuse that the same is lying deposited with the Commissioner Office at Rupnagar.

- 4. Notice of motion.*
- 5. Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and seeks time to examine the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner and then to assist the Court on the next date of hearing.*
- 6. Copy of the present writ petition has already been supplied to counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3, today in the Court.*
- 7. Adjourned to 01.05.2024.*

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 29, 2024”

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the previous date of hearing i.e. 29.04.2024, the awarded amount has been disbursed to the petitioner, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
- 3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

May 01, 2024
rashmi
Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no