

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

724

RSA-21-2003 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 01, 2024

State of Haryana and another

.....Appellant(s)

Vs.

Nirmala Parmar

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana
for the appellants

Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

- Learned counsel for the respondent submits that during the pendency of the appeal, the respondent has expired.
2. Since the respondent has expired therefore, the question of law involved in the present case has become infructuous.
3. In view of the above, the instant appeal is dismissed as having being rendered infructuous.
4. All the pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

October 01, 2024
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Speaking

Whether reportable Yes / No