

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CRWP-3726-2024
Date of Decision: 26.04.2024

TANISHKA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present:- Mr. Sahil Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG, Punjab.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India praying for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner after vehemently arguing on the merits of the case prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars.
3. In light of the above, the present petition stands **dismissed** as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.
4. It is made clear that filing or pendency of this petition or any order/direction passed in this petition shall not be an *alibi* or defence to the petitioners, in case, they are found involved in any illegal activity and the respondent-Authorities shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

April 26, 2024
Mohit Bishnoi

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE