



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

242

CRM-M-20681-2024

Date of decision: 30.08.2024

Yash Arora and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0360 dated 21.07.2023 under Sections 34 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13A of the Public Gambling Act, 1867 registered at Police Station Saran, District Faridabad and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 01.04.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 26.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 24.05.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the



CRM-M-20681-2024

-2-

parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

30.08.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No