

CRM-M-21057-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21057-2024
Date of decision: 22.07.2024

GURMEET SINGH AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Yash Goel, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the
petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-2) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
468	30.12.2023	323, 324, 34 & 506 IPC (325, 307 added later on)	Kalanwali, District Sirsa

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance
to the order dated 29.04.2024, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 29.04.2024, following order
had been passed: -

“ Apprehending their arrest in FIR No.468 dated
30.12.2023, registered for offences punishable under
Sections 323, 324, 34 and 506 of IPC, 1860 (Sections
325 and 307 of IPC, 1860 added later on) at Police
Station Kalanwali, District Sirsa, the petitioners have
preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking
pre-arrest bail.



Counsel for the petitioners inter alia submits that initially in the FIR, there was no attribution to the petitioners. However, they have been attributed aux blow in the supplementary statement. Further submits that the matter now stands compromised between the parties.

Notice of motion for 22.07.2024.


Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Yash Goel, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant and does not dispute the aforesaid fact.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioners shall join the investigation. They shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Chandan Singh informs the Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 29.04.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 29.04.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating

Officer of this case upon the petitioners; they will not tamper with the



evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.07.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |