

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP-9843-2024

Date of Decision : May 01, 2024

Shweta Saini and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Pallavi Babbar, Advocate, for
Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the petitioners are entitled for the grant of regular pay scale on completion of five years of service keeping in view the State Health Mission, Haryana, Contractual Employees Service Bye-Laws, 2018 (Annexure P-1).

2 Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the benefit accruing of the regular pay scale is not being extended to the petitioners and that too without any valid justification hence, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the said benefit to the petitioners forthwith along with arrears.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the

petitioners in the representations dated 04.05.2020 (Annexure P-9 and P-9(i) respectively) which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representations dated 04.05.2020 (Annexure P-9 and P-9(i) respectively) have been received in the office of concerned authorities, the same will be decided by respondent No.4 within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order and in case, after the decision of the representation, any benefit accrues to the petitioners, the same will also be released to them otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

May 01, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No