



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

293

CRM-M-21404-2024

Date of decision: July 31st, 2024

Sourabh Jagga and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Amit Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Vikas K. Gupta, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 6.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. are seeking quashing of FIR No.11 dated 07.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 341, 506, 427, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Sirsa, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 15.04.2024 (Annexure P-2)

2. Vide order dated 01.05.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 30.05.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned trial Court, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified

and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

July 31st, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No