

2024:PHHC:049502

**137 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2037-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.04.2024

Sandeep Bhatt

....Petitioner

Versus

Shinoo Bhatt

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Rahul Rana, Advocate for the petitioner
 Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate for the respondent

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

1. In this revision petition, the husband of the respondent assails the correctness of the Family Court's order refusing to frame an additional issue. During the course of hearing, it has come to the notice of the court that at the first instance, the husband filed petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for the grant of decree of divorce. Thereafter, the respondent filed a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for restitution of conjugal rights. The proceedings in the divorce petition are at the stage of deciding application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, for the grant of maintenance pendente lite.

2. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, it is considered appropriate to direct consolidation of both the proceedings, which are stated to be pending, before the same Presiding Judge. The trial court has already observed that the issues already framed are wide enough to

cover the entire dispute. Hence, the impugned order does not require any interference.

- 3. The revision petition is disposed of.
- 4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

10.04.2024
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE