

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

256

CWP-9043-2023

Date of Decision :05.03.2024

Hawa Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that the adhoc service rendered by the wife of the petitioner on the post of Mali-cum-labourer with the respondent-department is not being taken into account as qualifying service for computing her pensionary benefit, which action on the part of the respondents is totally arbitrary and illegal.

2. Upon notice of motion, respondents have filed reply wherein, in para-2 of the preliminary objections, it has been mentioned that the period for which, the wife of the petitioner discharged her duties on daily wage basis has already been taken into account as qualifying service and revised pension payment order has been issued and the revised benefits have already been extended in favour of the wife of the petitioner.

3

There is no replication to the reply filed by the respondents.

4. Keeping in view the above, as respondents have already considered the daily wage service rendered by the wife of petitioner as qualifying service and revised pension payment order has been issued and revised benefits have already been extended in her favour hence, no orders are required to be passed by this Court as the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

March 05, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No