

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

253(3)

CWP-11262-2021
Date of decision: 28.02.2024

Sandeep Singh and others	...Petitioners
Versus	
State of Punjab and another	...Respondents
	CWP-24205-2021
Malkeet Singh and another	...Petitioners
Versus	
State of Punjab and another	...Respondents
	CWP-6732-2022
Kuldip Singh and others	...Petitioners
Versus	
State of Punjab and another	...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. This common order shall dispose of the above petitions as they involve the same issue.
2. The prayer in the petitions filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-Departments to conclude and finalise the process of recruitment/appointments of petitioners.
3. It has been averred in the petitions that an advertisement was issued on 12.09.2016 seeking applications against vacancies of drivers in various departments of the State government. Petitioners applied for the posts, and

successfully cleared the written exam back in the year 2018. They were called for counselling in 2020 where their certificates along with driving license were checked by the respondents in due manner. A list of successful candidates who were found eligible was prepared under their respective codes and subsequently, they were called for the driving test in different phases in 2020-2021. Following this, result cum merit list was prepared for only some category codes and few candidates were selected thereof. Aggrieved, representations seeking declaration of result and finalisation of appointment/selection from pending category codes were submitted.

4. The learned State counsel on instructions submits that the representations shall be decided in a time bound manner.

5. In view of the aforesaid and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petitions are hereby disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the respective representations of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of three months and if found entitled, necessary benefits be granted to them forthwith. However, in the eventuality of the relief being denied, a speaking order be passed, after associating them therewith.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

28.02.2024
pry

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No