



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.21295 of 2024
Date of decision: 20th August, 2024

Gurlal Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar Arya, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep S. Jattan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Ms. Pushpinder Kaur, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.49 dated 23.02.2024 under Sections 323, 34 and 506 of the IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Ambala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 16.05.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ambala, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the



parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 4 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ambala and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 20, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No