



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-20282-2024

Date of decision: 25.07.2024

Komal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.79 dated 31.03.2024 under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kharar.

2. On 24.04.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court, had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation:-

“Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the instant case deceased Parminder Singh committed suicide after 13 years of his marriage with the present petitioner and they were having two children. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner left matrimonial house on 02.03.2024 and petitioner was not living with the deceased Parminder Singh at the time of alleged occurrence which took place on 30.03.2024. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that there was no intention on part of the petitioner to compel her husband to end his life by committing suicide by consuming Celphos tablets.



CRM-M-20282-2024

He further submits that actually deceased was drug addict and use to maltreat the petitioner and petitioner is not at all responsible for death of her husband. It is further submitted that no suicide note was left behind by the deceased before ending his life. It is further submitted that petitioner who is having no criminal antecedents is ready to join investigation with the police.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 24.04.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 24.04.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

25.07.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No