

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

RA-CW-333-2021 in
CWP-8984-2017
Date of Decision :25.04.2024

Pyare Lal

...Applicant/petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate and
Mr. Laxman Chaudhary, Advocate
for review-applicant/petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Present review petition has been filed for the review of order dated 19.02.2020 (Annexure A-1), by which the main writ petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this Court on the ground of delay and latches.

On being asked to point out any incorrect fact which has been noticed in the order dated 19.02.2020, which has been made basis for dismissal of the main writ petition, learned counsel for the review-applicant/petitioner has not been able to point out even a single incorrect fact recorded in the order which is sought to be reviewed.

Learned counsel for the review-applicant/petitioner submits that

keeping in view the facts and circumstances, there was no delay and the writ petition should have been entertained, which argument shows that the review-applicant/petitioner is trying to re-argue the case under the garb of review petition, which is not permissible.

In the absence of any incorrect fact being pointed out by learned counsel for the review-applicant/petitioner, no relief can be granted to the review-applicant and the present review petition is accordingly dismissed.

April 25, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No