

CRR-845-2024

249 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-845-2024
Decided on: 04.09.2024

Sukhdev Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Amninder Preet, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. Seeking quashing of the order dated 09.04.2024 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur, petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that his car was stolen and was used in a serious crime and now as per the State counsel, it is a material piece of evidence because of this, he is unable to sell the car, which is losing its value every passing day.
3. Counsel for the State submits that evidence involved is serious and in case, petitioner sells the car, they do not know that new purchaser might sell the car to scrap or might destroy it, and they will lose evidence itself, as such, permission should not be granted to sell the car.
4. At this stage, petitioner's counsel submits that at least trial be expedited. Although this Court cannot expedite the trial simply because the vehicle's value is involved but it is clarified that it shall be permissible for the petitioner to take all legal remedies for the loss caused to him because of the delay of trial. It is further clarified that in case any of the accused, who are in jail, do not appear and try to delay the trial, the concerned Court shall take steps to cancel their bail in accordance with law. State to also not seek any adjournment.



CRR-845-2024

5. **Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.** All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

04.09.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.