



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP No. 11060 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.05.2024

Ombir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. The grievance being raised by the petitioner is that he has wrongly been ousted from the zone of consideration for Group-D post as advertised by the respondents vide Advertisement dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) though, he has secured more marks than the last selected candidate in the category in which he was competing for the said post.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once a candidate lower in merit has been appointed for the said post, it was incumbent upon the respondents to appoint the petitioner also.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration



with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No