



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.21025 of 2024
Date of decision: 13th August, 2024

Akash @ Aakash

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Gursimran Walia, Advocate for
Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gagandeep S. Chhina, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.334 dated 11.11.2022 for the offences under Sections 418, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B of the IPC registered at Police Station Mundkati, District Palwal.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 13.05.2024, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation on the basis of following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on 29.04.2024.

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that he has been falsely implicated in the case in hand which is evident from the fact that neither was he named in the FIR in question nor any specific allegation levelled against him. It has been further submitted that the role attributed



to the petitioner was of identifying a person who had allegedly impersonated another person, who had been missing for the past many years.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 13.05.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 13.05.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 13, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No