

CM No. 9449-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP No. 9216 of 2024

2024.PHHC.078753



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(106+212)

CM No. 9449-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP No. 9216 of 2024
Date of Decision : 31.05.2024

Naveen Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Pankaj Middha, Advocate for the respondent-Nigam.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

CM No. 9449-CWP-2024

Present application has been filed for impleading respondents
No. 4 to 6 as necessary party in the present writ petition.

Notice of the application to the counsel for the respondents.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned
Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts
notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection for the grant
of prayer as made in the present application.



Keeping in view the averments made in the application as well as no objection made by learned counsel for the respondents, the present application is allowed. Respondents No. 4 to 6 are impleaded as necessary party in the present writ petition. Amended memo of parties attached with the application is also taken on record.

CWP-9216-2024

1. Learned counsel for the respondent-Nigam submits that he has received instructions from Sh. Ranjeet Kumar Pant, Account Officer, O/o Haryana Backward Classes & Economically Weaker Section Kalyan Nigam that the petitioner will be allowed to join his duties today itself with the respondent-Nigam and will be allowed to discharge his duties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has not been allowed to join for a period of two years, keeping in view the Instructions issued by the Government of Haryana dated 21.04.2023 with regard to the Clerks, who were selected in both the merit lists, are to be treated and how the period for which they remained out of service is to be treated and what benefit they will be entitled for, entitlement of the petitioner qua the period he remained out of service be decided.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any such representation is received from the petitioner to claim the benefit under the Instructions dated 21.04.2023, the said representation will be considered by the respondent-Nigam or the Government and an



appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the said claim and whatever the petitioner is found entitled for will be extended to him by passing appropriate speaking order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel the respondent-Nigam as recorded here-in-before, no further grievance of the petitioner survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

May 31, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No