

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

284

CRM-M-20830-2023

Date of Decision.:16.08.2023

Vikash Kumar

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana  
assisted by SI Samundar Singh.

Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate for  
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for  
respondent Nos.2 & 3.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Samundar Singh,  
informs that matter has already been compromised between the petitioner and  
respondent Nos.2 & 3.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 & 3 has no information  
regarding the said compromise.

However, considering the fact that there is no representation on  
behalf of the petitioner, who had sought cancellation of the regular bail, granted  
to respondent Nos.2 & 3 and considering the statement of learned State counsel  
regarding compromise, it appears that petitioner is no longer interested in  
pursuing this petition.

Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

**( DEEPAK GUPTA )**  
**JUDGE**

**August 16, 2023**

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No