



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20103 of 2024
Date of decision: 9th July, 2024

Rajinder Pal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.16 dated 04.02.2024 for the offences under Sections 323, 325, 506 and 34 IPC (Sections 325 and 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station B Division, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 24.04.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the false implication of the petitioner in the crime in question is evident from the fact that there is a delay of six days in lodging the FIR, which clearly hints towards an exaggerated version having been brought



forth against the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that even as per the FIR, it was the brother of the petitioner, who was armed with the knife and had inflicted injuries inviting the mischief of Section 326 IPC; petitioner was unarmed and only inflicted fist blows on the opposite side.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 24.04.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Satwinder Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 24.04.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No