

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20373-2024
Date of decision: 14.05.2024

SUMRINDER SINGH SIRA AND OTHERSPETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHERRESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Jimmy Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Malkit Singh Dhillon, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Shivam Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 18.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
193	02.09.2021	420, 120-B of IPC	Passiana, Patiala.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 18.04.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, vide report dated



CRM-M-20373-2024

2

06.05.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. As per report of learned trial Court, cost of Rs. 10,000/- in compliance of the order dated 26.04.2024 has been deposited.

4. Respondents No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 193 dated 02.09.2021, under Sections 420, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Passiana, Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

14.05.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |