



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9244-2024
Decided On: 23.09.2024

KIRANPETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. P. K. Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Samarath Sagar, Addl. A. G., Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate
for respondent No.3/HPSC.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J.(Oral)

Short written statement dated 20.09.2024, has been filed on behalf of the third respondent/Commission, which is taken on record.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has secured 47.90 marks, and the last recommended candidate has also secured 47.90 marks. One more post is now vacant on account of dismissal of writ petition filed by the candidate, CWP-5558-2024 titled *Poonam Godara v. State of Haryana and others*, and the petitioner's case can be considered against it as per merit.
3. Learned counsel for the Commission submits that in case a post is now vacant after dismissal of the petition aforementioned, the petitioner's case will be considered against it as per merit, within a period of four weeks.
4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

5. Ordered accordingly.

23.09.2024

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No