

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

109

CWP-9086-2024 (O&M)
Decided on : 25.04.2024

PALWINDER AND OTHERS

. . .Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate with
Ms. Gitanjali Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Rohan Moudgil, Advocate,
Mr. Deepak Mishra, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Johar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing i.e. 23.04.2024, the following order
was passed by this Court:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
the claim of the petitioners in the present petition is that
they are entitled to continue under Hartron and should
not be shifted to HRKNL, which benefit was already
allowed by the respondents themselves, due to which, the
earlier writ petitions filed by the petitioners were
rendered infructuous but now once again the petitioners
are being shifted to HRKNL and that too against the
undertaking given before this Court, which act on the part
of the respondents is arbitrary and illegal.*

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, keeping in view the advance copy of petition given to him, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State. He seeks a short adjournment to apprise this Court as to why the same action, which was earlier withdrawn by the respondents, is being again taken qua the petitioners.

Adjourned to 25.04.2024.”

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the impugned order be treated as withdrawn with liberty to the respondents to avail appropriate remedy of filing the review application keeping in view the undertaking given in CWP No. 10813-2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order has been withdrawn though with certain conditions, the present petition has become infructuous hence, the same may kindly be disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

The liberty is given to the respondents to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law, in case, the respondents intends to file review against the order passed in CWP No. 10813 of 2022.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

25.04.2024

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/~~No~~
Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No