

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

259

CRM-M-39184-2018
Date of Decision: 18.09.2024

Rajesh Mittal

....Petitioner

Versus

M.C. Gupta

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Nischal Chetanya, Advocate for
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Seeking quashing of complaint ID No.1895/17 dated 13.12.2017/16.10.2017 (P-1) under Section 138 NIA and summoning order dated 16.10.2017 (P-2) passed by JMIC, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri and subsequent proceedings, petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC.

2. Petitioner’s counsel submits that petitioner is stated to have expired rendering the present petition infructuous.

3. Given above, present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.09.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable?	No