

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CWP-10172-2020

Date of decision: 18.04.2024

GURMIT SINGH GILL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND
OTHERS**

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Manjinder Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2-PSPCL.

None for respondent No.3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to restore the electricity connection to the petitioner premises at Landran Road, Landran and a further prayer is made for restraining the respondents not to disconnect the electricity supply to the premises of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner had already preferred an application under Section 22 (C) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 which is pending before the Permanent Lok Adalat, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali and that he would be satisfied at this juncture in case the present writ petition is disposed of by protecting the electricity connection that has

already been restored by the respondents till final adjudication of the application before the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances and noticing that the electricity supply had already been received in favour of the petitioner and that the application/grievance of the petitioner as regards the act and demand raised by the respondent-PSPCL is under challenge before the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition without commenting on the merits of the case lest it may cause prejudice to the pending proceedings before the Permanent Lok Adalat, (Public Utility Services), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali. with a direction to the respondents not to disconnect the electricity supply to the premises of the petitioner during the pendency of the proceedings before the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali. It is, however, submitted that the above said protection would not be available to the petitioner in case he is in default of any other charges or does not deposit the energy consumption bills raised by the respondents.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

APRIL 18, 2024

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No