

CRWP-3701-2024
(119)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3701-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision :25.04.2024

Nandini and anr.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is the first marriage of petitioner No.2-Aangandeeep Singh and second marriage of petitioner No.1-Nandini. Petitioner No.1-Nandini was earlier married to respondent No.15/Sunny Mattu and had obtained mutual divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act vide order dated 06.01.2024 passed by the Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Amritsar. A female child born out of this wedlock is residing with her father i.e. respondent No.15/Sunny Mattu.

The petitioners have prayed for the issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. The petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 13.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and a threat perception at the hands of the private

CRWP-3701-2024
(119)

respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of the aforesaid relief, the petitioners have approached the Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar-respondent No.2 by way of a representation dated 16.04.2024 (Annexure P-9).

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 5.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Ramta K Chaudhary, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion as to the validity of the marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 25, 2024

sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No