

CRM-M-19798-2024

:1:

2024.PHHC:075458



222 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19798-2024
Date of Decision:27.05.2024**

HARPREET SINGH ALIAS HAPPY

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Malkiat Singh Hundal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

DSP Sukhjinder Pal Thapar and
ASI Balwinder Lal, present in person.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in a case having FIR No.33 dated 18.03.2024 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act at Police Station Chattiwind, Amritsar, Rural.
2. The FIR in this case was registered on the basis of the statement of Kashmir Kaur to the effect that on 17.03.2024 petitioner, Manpreet Singh, Shamsher Singh @ Shera, Harjit Kaur, Amritpal Singh and some unknown persons attacked his sons Jagdeep Singh, Sachpreet Singh, Akashdeep Singh and nephew Gopal Singh. At that time,



petitioner-Harpreet Singh caused grievous injury on the head of Gopal Singh with the help of datar (sharp edged weapon). There are also allegations that present petitioner also fired in air with his pistol.

3. Today, the State counsel brought to the notice of the Court that there is also cross-version as per which the other party attacked Manpreet Singh, Shamsher Singh @ Shera and in said attack Manpreet Singh sustained head injury which was found to be grievous in nature.

4. Counsel for the petitioner while praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner submits that the case involves version and cross-version. That no doubt petitioner is accused in main FIR but the other party is accused in cross-version having DDR No.22 dated 24.05.2024 which was registered on the basis of statement of Manpreet Singh companion of the present petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that aggressor party will be determined during the trial.

5. The present petition is opposed by the State counsel, who submits that cross-version is registered after delay of two months of the occurrence.

6. I have considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties.

7. The case may be involving version and cross-version but even as per the allegations recorded in DDR No.22 dated 24.05.2024, it appears that present petitioner has not sustained any injury at the hands of the other party. On the other hand, it is apparent that present petitioner caused grievous injury on head of Gopal Singh with the help of datar and



the said injury is *prima facie* covered under Section 326 of IPC. Further the petitioner is involved in three other criminal cases. In the given circumstances, no ground is made out to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

8. Consequently, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

27.05.2024

Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |