

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-19963-2024
Date of Decision: 23.4.2024

Devender Kumar Sharma

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Sub Divisional Magistrate, Badhkhal and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Vohra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the police filed *kalandra* dated 10.6.2021/14.6.2021 (Annexure P-5) under Section 145 of Cr.P.C. against the present petitioner and respondents No.4 to 7 in the Court of SDM, Badhkhal, Faridabad.

2. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that as per report (Annexure P-6) submitted by Tehsildar, Badhkhal which was called by the Court concerned, the petitioner is found to be in possession of the property in question. He further submits that even after receipt of the report of Tehsildar (Annexure P-6), no further proceedings are carried out by SDM concerned in the aforesaid *kalandra*. So, prayer is made that necessary direction be given to the Court concerned to decide said *kalandra* in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that necessary direction in this

regard be given to the Court concerned.

5. In view of above, present petition is hereby disposed of, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with direction to respondent No.1 to proceed further in the matter and to decide *kalandra* (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law without granting unnecessary adjournments, at the earliest, preferably within next 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

April 23, 2024
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No