

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(129)

CWP-8952-2024

Date of Decision : April 22, 2024

Deepak Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, with
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate, for respondent No.2-HPSC.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed with a prayer that the individual marks of the petitioner and the other candidates secured in the written test held on 18.03.2024 be declared and the answer sheet of the petitioner be re-evaluated from a separate panel of experts in the relevant filed at the nominal expenses of the petitioner. Further prayer of the petitioner is that probably, all the questions attempted by the petitioner have either not been checked or the totalling of the same has been done incorrectly done due to which, the petitioner has not been considered for further selection process for the post in question.

2. At the time of hearing, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case, the Court summons the answer sheet of the petitioner to find out as to whether all the questions attempted by the petitioner have been marked and totality of marks is correct or not and the individual marks of

the petitioner be disclosed at the end of the selection process for the post in question.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2-Commission.

5. On the asking of the Court, the answer sheet of the petitioner was brought in the Court in the sealed cover and was shown to the Court.

6. Keeping in view the fact that all the questions attempted by the petitioner have been marked and totaling is also correct, no interference is called for by this Court especially when as per the terms and conditions of the advertisement, option of re-evaluation is not available to the candidates.

7. Qua the prayer of the petitioner for disclosing the marks secured by him, it is directed that after the conclusion of the selection process for the post in question, within a period of seven days thereafter, the petitioner be informed about the marks secured by him by due communication to the address given by him in the application form.

8. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the order passed by this Court, no further grievance of the petitioner survives at this stage hence, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. The answer sheet of the petitioner has been returned to the learned counsel for respondent No.2-Commission after re-sealing the same.

April 22, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No