



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20207-2024
Date of decision: 14.05.2024

PARDEEPPETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Shivansh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Ashutosh Verma, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 05.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
250	22.08.2022	406 and 420 of IPC	PGIMS, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 05.04.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak, vide report dated



CRM-M-20207-2024

2

02.05.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. As per report of learned trial Court, cost of Rs. 10,000/- in compliance of the order dated 24.04.2024 has been deposited.

4. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 250 dated 22.08.2022, under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station PGIMS, Rohtak, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

14.05.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |