

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

253

CWP-8591-2023

Date of Decision :20.02.2024

K.C. Hooda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil K. Sokal, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that the charge sheet (Annexure P/1), which has been issued to the petitioner is time barred and could not have been issued by the respondents.
2. While issuing notice of motion, proceedings qua said charge sheet were stayed .
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per the reply filed on behalf of the respondents, said charge sheet has already been withdrawn and the benefits have already been released to the petitioner hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.
4. Ordered accordingly.

February 20, 2024

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No