

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CWP-9799-2024

Date of decision: - 01.05.2024

Nishant @ Nishant Bhardwaj**....Petitioner****Versus****Central Board of Secondary Education and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents for correction in the names of the petitioner and parents of the petitioner by adding surname with their names in the certificate/marks sheet of the secondary school examination issued by the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present case, the petitioner would give a detailed representation to the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board and prays that he would be satisfied, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board considers the said representation, in accordance with law, in a specified time frame and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then,

grant the appropriate relief.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of in the following terms: -

- (i) It would be open to the petitioner to give a detailed representation to the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board within a period of two weeks from today.
- (ii) In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider the same within a period of two months from the date of submission of the said representation and in case, after considering the said representation, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to him as expeditiously as possible. In case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of two months.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

May 01, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No