

[205] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20324-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 05.02.2024

Harish Kumar ...Petitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Ms. Jasneet Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate for
Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 04.07.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present:- Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner-accused in order to facilitate the abroad visit of complainant's husband namely Paramveer Singh, opened an account in his name and also deposited money in his account, and later the said amount was got transferred in the account of petitioner-accused, therefore, this transaction did not cause any wrongful loss to the complainant. According to learned counsel the complainant further alleged that the cheques belonging to the complainant's account were forged which were dishonoured, whereupon the complainant instituted criminal complaint and the same is pending adjudication. Learned counsel submits, in the given facts, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary as he is willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for the complainant argued that the accused-petitioner was having the particulars of the account, therefore, he procured the cheque book from the bank and misused those cheques by forging complainant’s signature for a total sum of Rs.32,50,000/- which were dishonoured and the complainant is being prosecuted in the complaint case.

Adjourned to 29.08.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Himmat Singh, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 04.07.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 04.07.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

05.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No