

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.2382 of 2024
Date of Decision: 22.04.2024

Sushil Kumar
...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Raj Kumar
...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant revision-petition, while submitting that the petitioner intends to avail the appropriate, efficacious and alternative remedy, as may be permissible to him under law.

Resultantly, the revision-petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

22.04.2024
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No