



122

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9195-2024
Date of Decision:08.05.2024

DR. NEETIKA CHAUHAN AND ORS Petitioners

Versus

BOARD OF GOVERNORS , NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY KURUKSHETRA AND ORS
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

- On 24.04.2024, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that vide impugned advertisement (Annexure P-24), the respondent has invited applications for recruitment to the post of Assistant Professor Grade-I (Level-12) and Assistant Professor Grade-II (Level-10).Level 10 post is a contractual post. The respondent cannot make regular appointment of said post, thus, advertisement is prejudicial to present status of petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate submits that respondent has not invited applications for contractual posts whereas advertised post is a regular post. He seeks time to file affidavit in support of his contention.

On his request, adjourned to 06.05.2024.”
- Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate submits that issue involved in the



present petition stands adjudicated by this Court vide judgment dated 01.05.2024 passed in *Civil Writ Petition 25837 of 2023* titled as ‘*Ruby Chauhan Vs. National Institute of Technology*’.

3. On being confronted with aforesaid judgment, Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate expressed his inability to controvert the applicability of said judgment to the present case.

4. Dismissed in terms of judgment dated 01.05.2024.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.05.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>