

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19754-2024
Date of Decision:07.08.2024

Raj Kumar Sharma ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr. ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Ms. Mehak Sawhney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner had filed the present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C with a prayer to set aside the order dated 19.02.2024 passed by the Court of JMIC, Bhiwani (Annexure P-6), whereby the bail order of the petitioner was cancelled and his bail bonds and surety bonds were ordered to be forfeited to the State and the petitioner was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of interim order dated 25.04.2024, passed by this Court, the petitioner had appeared before the Trial Court and has been admitted to bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that even thereafter, the petitioner is regularly appearing before the Trial Court.
3. Learned State counsel is not in a position to controvert the stand taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the

record.

5. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 25.04.2024 is made absolute and the petition stands allowed. It is made clear that the petitioner shall continue to appear before the Trial Court on each and every date of hearing and shall not absent himself from the Trial Court proceedings, without prior permission of the Trial court.

07.08.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No