

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19719-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2024

Kapil and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.2 & 3 not to harass the petitioners and their family members, by calling them in the police station at the behest of respondent No.4.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that petitioners No.2 & 3 solemnized marriage of their daughter namely Ritu with respondent No.4 on 10.02.2019 according to Hindu rites and out of this wedlock, no child was born. The petitioners spent Rs.7.00 lacs on the marriage. However, respondent No.4 used to take drugs and he was habitual of taking liquor in heavy quantity and always remain in an intoxicant condition, due to

which he used to give beatings to daughter of petitioners No.2 & 3 and caused injuries and he also tried to strangle her. Respondent No.4 pressurized her to bring Rs.5.00 lacs from the petitioners. Respondent No.4 also took her ATM and used her account. It is further contended that daughter of petitioners No.2 & 3 was meritorious and she cleared IELTS and got 6 bands. Respondent No.4 and daughter of petitioners No.2 & 3 applied for spouse visa for Australia, for which respondent No.4 took personal loan. Daughter of petitioners No.2 & 3 was continuously harassed and a demand of Rs.10.00 lacs was made and ultimately, on 19.07.2022, she was thrown out of her matrimonial home by respondent No.4 and her in-laws. She took treatment from Civil Hospital, Ludhiana and made complaints before the jurisdictional police authorities, but till date, no action has been taken.

3. Per contra, learned State counsel appears on advance notice and on instructions from ASI Major Singh, submits that present petition is totally misconceived. In fact, two FIRs have been registered against daughter of petitioners No.2 & 3 and the petitioners are not required by the police for any purpose at this stage. Moreover, she left the country without seeking prior permission of the Court concerned, which has granted anticipatory bail to her. Present petition has been filed only to put pressure on the investigating agency. The petitioners were never harassed and only for the purpose of execution of warrants against daughter of petitioners No.2 & 3, jurisdictional police officials have visited their house.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the

record of the case with their able assistance, this Court finds no ground to interfere in the present matter to issue any direction.

5. Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.

22.04.2024
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No