



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

CWP-10962-2022

Date of decision: 13.11.2024

ARJINDER KAUR AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 & 3.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nikhil Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4-PSPCL.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of directions to the respondent-PSPCL to install meters and to start the supply of electricity to the premises of the petitioners which are situated in a development project initiated by a private developer who had also submitted a 'No Objection Certificate' from the Municipal Council, Kharar.

Written statement had been filed on behalf of the respondent-PSPCL wherein it is submitted that the project in question had been approved by the local Development Authority i.e. Greater

**CWP-10962-2022****-2-**

Mohali Area Development Authority and Municipal Council, Kharar was not the competent authority to issue the No Objection Certificate. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority filed its separate reply wherein it was averred that the Developer was bound to deposit various statutory charges as per the agreement executed between the developer as well as the State Government and that the necessary dues/charges have not been deposited by the developer and that he has defaulted to the tune of Rs. 129.40 crores approximately.

Counsel for the petitioners is confronted with the fact that in event of any default in the terms and conditions by the developer in a project required to be registered under the Real Estate Regulatory Authority, the petitioners have an alternative remedy to approach the authority constituted under the said Act for redressal of the grievance. Counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition at this stage so as to approach the competent authority for redressal of the said grievance.

The said prayer is not opposed by the Counsel for the respondents.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 13, 2024*Vishal sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No