

122

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-8786-2024
Date of decision: 22.04.2024

Lekhram ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned action of respondents in continuing with the departmental inquiry against the petitioner during the pendency of trial in FIR No.61 dated 08.03.2022 registered under Sections 354-D, 509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Chhainsa, District Faridabad.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is one common witness in the departmental proceedings and in the criminal proceedings and the said common witness should not be examined in the departmental proceedings till the time he is examined in the criminal proceedings as in case the said witness is examined in the departmental proceedings, then, the same would cause prejudice to the case of the petitioner in the criminal proceedings. In support of the said argument,

