

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CWP No. 8978 of 2024
Date of Decision : 23.04.2024

Suraj Mal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the petitioner is claiming the promotion on the ground that in the seniority list which has been issued by the respondents in the year 2023, the petitioner is shown senior to certain employees but still, the petitioner has not been promoted to the post of Assistant from the date employees junior to him have been promoted.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the employees, who were juniors to the petitioner in the seniority list, have been promoted, which is arbitrary and illegal, hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant with effect from the date, employees junior to him have been promoted with all consequential benefits.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 07.11.2023 (Annexure P-15) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 07.11.2023 (Annexure P-15) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

April 23rd, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No