



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-20285-2024
Date of decision: 10.07.2024

ANOOP SINGHPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Short reply by way of affidavit dated 10.07.2024, of Sh. Kanwalpreet Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Patti, District Tarn Taran has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to the opposite counsel.
2. A perusal of the reply (supra), reveals that all the prosecution witnesses already stands examined in the present case, and prosecution evidence has been closed, and now the case is fixed for recording the statement of the accused persons under Section 313 of Cr.P.C., therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the instant petition, at this stage.
3. The instant petition is **dismissed** as not pressed, at this stage.

10.07.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No