



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-19809-2024

Date of decision: 30.07.2024

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.38 dated 15.02.2024 for the offences under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452, 506 IPC (Section 308 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Chhapar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. On 23.04.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the delay of three days in lodging of the FIR in question clearly indicates that a false and fabricated case has been planted upon the petitioner; he was not named in the FIR nor any role attributed to him therein; he came to be nominated as an accused on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Harman who stated that on the fateful day when the occurrence in question took place, the petitioner had accompanied him. It has also been



CRM-M-19809-2024

-2-

submitted that even otherwise, the ingredients attracting the mischief of Section 308 IPC are not made out as the injured has suffered only abrasions on his person. Learned counsel has also submitted that identically placed co-accused Shubham Dhiman has since been extended the concession of interim bail by this Court vide order dated 10.04.2024.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 23.04.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 23.04.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

30.07.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No