



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M No.19462 of 2024
Date of decision : 16.7.2024**

Ravinder Gupta**.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Abnash Singh, Advocate, for the complainant

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.35 dated 6.4.2024, under Sections 420 and 34 of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Hariana, District Hoshiarpur.

2. Power of attorney filed on behalf of the complainant, is taken on record.

3. This Court vide order dated 22.4.2024, while issuing notice of motion, granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner, subject to his joining the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 22.4.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kiran Singh,



does not controvert the said fact and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

6. Learned counsel for the complainant while opposing the prayer of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, argues that the *modus operandi* of the petitioner alongwith other groups who is looting poor farmers needs to be unearthed, wherein numerous complaints have been filed with the police authorities but only one case was registered by the police, who has not paying any heed to the other complaints/FIRs.

7. Be that as it may, considering the aforesaid contention raised on behalf of the complainant, this Court does not find any reason to deny the concession of bail in this petition wherein the petitioner is appearing and already joined the investigation as has been categorically informed by the learned State counsel. Even otherwise, whatever the grievance qua the registration of FIRs in another complaints by the police authorities is concerned, the complainants have other remedies in law for redressal of the same by taken note of the instant petition while considering the anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. In view of the above discussion, the order dated 22.4.2024 is made absolute.

9. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

16.7.2024

Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No